

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQU

IP PARIS

SLICED-WASSERSTEIN DISTANCE (SW)

For probability measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$SW_p^p(\mu,\nu,P) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} W_p^p(\theta_{\sharp}^{\star}\mu,\theta_{\sharp}^{\star}\nu) \, \mathrm{d}\, P(\theta)$$

$$P \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}), \text{ integrand } f_{\mu,\nu}^{(p)} : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R},$$
$$f_{\mu,\nu}^{(p)}(\theta) = W_p^p(\theta_{\sharp}^{\star}\mu, \theta_{\sharp}^{\star}\nu)$$

Monte Carlo: Sample $\theta_i \sim P$ and average $(f_{\mu,\nu}^{(p)}(\theta_i))_i$.

GOAL: Improve SW distance computation by improving the MC estimation using **Control Variates**.

CONTROL VARIATES AND OLSMC

Integral I(*f*) of square-integrable integrand $f \in L_2(P)$ on (Θ, \mathcal{F}, P) is approximated with $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \sim P$

$$I(f) = \int_{\Theta} f(\theta) dP(\theta), \quad I_n(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\theta_i).$$

 $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_s)^\top$ are **Control Variates**: $I(\varphi_k) = 0$ For $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^s$, $I(f - \beta^\top \varphi) = I(f)$ yielding CV estimate

$$\mathbf{I}_{n}^{(\mathrm{cv})}(f,\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f(\theta_{i}) - \beta^{\top} \varphi(\theta_{i})).$$

OLS framework: I(f) is the intercept of the LR model with features $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_s$ and target response f,

 $(\mathbf{I}(f), \beta_{\star}(f)) \in \underset{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{s}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \mathbf{I}[(f - \alpha - \beta^{\top}\varphi)^{2}].$

• Ordinary Least Squares Monte Carlo (OLSMC)

 $(\mathbf{I}_n^{\text{ols}}(f), \beta_n(f)) \in \underset{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^s}{\arg\min} \|f_n - \alpha \mathbf{1}_n - \Phi\beta\|_2^2$

 $f_n = (f(\theta_1), \dots, f(\theta_n))^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n, 1_n = (1, \dots, 1)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n,$ $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s}$ is matrix of control variates $\Phi = (\varphi(\theta_i)^\top)_{i=1}^n$.



Figure 1: $L_2(P)$ projection of f onto $Span\{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_s\}$.

Sliced-Wasserstein Estimation with pherical Harmonics as Control Variates

Aigerim Zhuman³ Aymeric Dieuleveut¹ François Portier² Johan Segers³ ²CREST, ENSAI ole Polytechnique, IPParis ³LIDAM, ISBA, UCLouvain

SPHERICAL HARMONICS

The **Spherical Harmonics** $\{\varphi_{\ell,k} : \ell \ge 0, 1 \le k \le N_{\ell}^d\}$ form an orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space $L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ so that for every $f \in L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ we have

$$f = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\ell}^{a}} \hat{f}_{\ell,k} \varphi_{\ell,k} \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{f}_{\ell,k} = \int f \varphi_{\ell,k} \, \mathrm{d} \, \mathrm{P} \, .$$

$$I(\varphi_{\ell,k}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \varphi_{\ell,k}(\theta) \, d \, P(\theta) = 0$$

SHCV ESTIMATOR

The SHCV estimate of maximum degree 2L is the OLSMC estimate with all spherical harmonics of even degree from 2 up to 2L as covariate matrix

$$\mathrm{SHCV}_{n,L}^p(\mu,\nu) = \mathrm{I}_n^{\mathrm{ols}}(f_{\mu,\nu}^{(p)})$$

(Linear rule) SHCV estimate can be represented as a linear rule $w^{\top} f_n$, where the weight vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ does not depend on the integrands.

(**Computing time**) For K integrals, SHCV in $\mathcal{O}(Kn\omega_f + \omega(\Phi))$ compared to $\mathcal{O}(Kn\omega_f)$ for MC.

SLICED-WASSERSTEIN ALGORITHMS

Algorithm 1: Sliced Wasserstein Monte Carlo

Require: $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, number of random projections *n*

- 1: Sample random projections $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \sim P$
- 2: Compute $f_n = (f_{\mu,\nu}^{(p)}(\theta_i))_{i=1}^n$
- 3: Return average $\mathbf{MC}_n = (1_n/n)^\top f_n$

Algorithm 2: Spherical Harmonics Control Variate

- **Require:** $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, number of random projections n, spherical harmonics $\varphi = (\varphi_j)_{j=1}^s$
- 1: Sample random projections $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \sim P$
- 2: Compute $f_n = (f_{\mu,\nu}^{(p)}(\theta_i))_{i=1}^n, \Phi = (\varphi(\theta_i)^{\top})_{i=1}^n$
- 3: Solve $(I_n^{ols}, \beta_n) \in \arg \min_{\alpha, \beta} ||f_n \alpha 1_n \Phi \beta||_2^2$
- 4: Return $\text{SHCV}_n = I_n^{\text{ols}}$

(Exact Rule) If $f_{\mu,\nu}^{(p)}$ is a polynomial of degree *m*, considering the SHCV estimate and control variates $\varphi =$ $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^{s_{L,d}}$, if $2L \ge m$ and $n > s_{L,d}$ then SHCV is exact: $\operatorname{SHCV}_{n,L}^p(\mu,\nu) = \operatorname{SW}_p^p(\mu,\nu).$

(Affine transform) If $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are related by $X \sim \mu$ and $\alpha X + b \sim \nu$ where $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ then the SHCV estimate is exact.

(Mean invariance) For $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the error of the SHCV method is (exactly) invariant under changes of the mean vectors m_{μ} and m_{ν} of μ and ν respectively.

SH

On Machine Learning

THEORETICAL PROPERTIES

For Gaussians $\mu = \mathcal{N}(a, \mathbf{A})$ and $\nu = \mathcal{N}(b, \mathbf{B})$

 $f_{\mu,\nu}^{(2)}(\theta) = |\theta^{\top}(a-b)|^2 + \left(\sqrt{\theta^{\top}\mathbf{A}\theta} - \sqrt{\theta^{\top}\mathbf{B}\theta}\right)^2$

ASYMPTOTIC ERROR BOUND

Theorem 1 (Convergence rate). Let $d \ge 2$, $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be fixed. For any degree sequence L = L_n such that $L = o(n^{1/(2(d-1))})$ as $n \to \infty$, the integration error satisfies

$$\left|\operatorname{CV}_{n,L}^{p}(\mu,\nu) - \operatorname{SW}_{p}^{p}(\mu,\nu)\right| = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(L^{-1}n^{-1/2})$$

• For d = 3, with $L = n^{1/(2(d-1))}/\ell_n$ where $\ell_n \to \infty$ slowly, this yields the rate $n^{-3/4+o(1)}$ for the SHCV estimate, in comparison to the Monte Carlo rate $n^{-1/2}$.

RELATED METHODS

• MC: standard MC estimate.

• CV_{low} and CV_{up} : the lower-CV and upper-CV estimates of [3] based on lower and upper bounds of a Gaussian approximation.

• CVNN: estimate of [1] based on nearest neighbors estimates acting as control variates.

• RQMC: (Randomized) Quasi Monte Carlo as in [2]. • SHCV: proposed estimate with Spherical Harmonics as Control Variates.

drawn from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.







ouvain Institute of Data Analysis and International Conference On Machine Learning Modeling in economics and statistics

NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

(Gaussian) $SW_2^2(\mu_m,\nu_m)$ with $\mu_m = m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_{x_i}$ and $\nu_m = m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \delta_{y_j}, x_i \sim \mu = \mathcal{N}(a, \mathbf{A}), y_j \sim \nu = 0$ $\mathcal{N}(b, \mathbf{B}), m = 1000$, means $a, b \sim \mathcal{N}_d(1_d, I_d)$ and covariance $\mathbf{A} = \Sigma_a \Sigma_a^{\top}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \Sigma_b \Sigma_b^{\top}$, entries of Σ_a, Σ_b

Figure 2: MSE for sampled Gaussian distributions supported on m = 1000 points, dimension $d \in \{3; 6\}$ (left/right).

(3D Point Clouds) dataset ShapeNetCore corresponding to the objects plane, lamp, and bed, each composed of m = 2048 points in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Figure 3: Boxplots of error $\widehat{SW}_n(\mu_m, \nu_m) - SW(\mu_m, \nu_m)$ for different SW estimates based on *n* random projections with $n \in$ $\{100; 250; 500; 1000\}$ obtained over 100 independent runs.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Leluc, F. Portier, J. Segers, and A. Zhuman. Speeding up Monte Carlo integration: Control neighbors for optimal convergence. *Bernoulli*, 2024.

[2] K. Nguyen, N. Bariletto, and N. Ho. Quasi-Monte Carlo for 3D Sliced Wasserstein. In The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations, 2024.

[3] K. Nguyen and N. Ho. Sliced Wasserstein Estimation with Control Variates. In *The Twelfth International Conference on* Learning Representations, 2024.